

## Chemical Composition And Nutritional Value Of Composite Wheat Flours (*Triticumaestivum*) And Two Varieties Of Taro Corms (*Colocasiaesculenta*) Cultivar Fouê And Cultivar Yatan

ANON Attoh Hyacinthe<sup>1</sup>, FAGBOHOUN Jean Bedel<sup>2</sup>, EKISSI Elvis Serge Gbocho<sup>1</sup>, YAPI Jocelyn Constant<sup>3</sup>, KOUAME Lucien Patrice<sup>1</sup>.

<sup>1</sup>Department of Food Science and Technology, University Nangui Abrogoua (Abidjan, Côte d'Ivoire)

<sup>2</sup>Department of Biochemistry-Genetics, University PeleforoGonCoulibaly (Korhogo, Côte d'Ivoire)

<sup>3</sup>Department of Biochemistry-Microbiologie, UniversityLorougnonGuede (Daloa, Côte d'Ivoire)

Corresponding Author: FAGBOHOUN Jean Bedel

---

**Abstract:** The aim of this study, was to evaluate nutritive potential of composite flours of wheat (*Triticumaestivum*) and taro corms (*Colocasiaesculenta*) cv fouê and yatan.

Moisture and ash contents of composite flours of wheat and taro (cv yatan) increased significantly and were respectively  $11.66 \pm 0.46$  to  $11.83 \pm 0.23$ ,  $2.18 \pm 0.02$  to  $3.60 \pm 0.06$ . Protein values ( $12.71 \pm 0.3$  to  $11.90 \pm 0.83$ ), lipids ( $1.16 \pm 0.07$  to  $1.12 \pm 0.07$ ), carbohydrates ( $72.51 \pm 0.85$  to  $71.55 \pm 0.79$ ) and cellulose ( $2.24 \pm 0.07$  to  $1.03 \pm 0.07$ ) decreased significantly. Moisture contents ( $11.66 \pm 0.46$  to  $11.83 \pm 0.23$ ), ash ( $2.18 \pm 0.02$  to  $3.60 \pm 0.06$ ), lipids ( $0.98 \pm 0.16$  to  $1.13 \pm 0.08$ ) and total sugars ( $5.90 \pm 0.08$  to  $9.44 \pm 0.04$ ) of composite flours of wheat and taro (cv fouê) increase significantly but protein ( $12.14 \pm 0.3$  to  $11.85 \pm 0.3$ ), carbohydrate ( $72.91 \pm 0.7$  to  $71.41 \pm 1.99$ ) and cellulose ( $2.3 \pm 0.07$  to  $1.00 \pm 0.07$ ) values decrease significantly. The major minerals potassium (K) and phosphorus (P) in composite flours of wheat and taro (cv yatan) increased significantly and are respectively  $173.95 \pm 0.14$  to  $186.69 \pm 0.4$ ,  $147.64 \pm 0.25$  to  $181.13 \pm 0.02$ . In composite flours of wheat and taro (cv fouê), their respective contents are  $173.5 \pm 0.35$  to  $181.5 \pm 0.03$ ,  $147.5 \pm 0.14$  to  $173.47 \pm 0.01$ .

**Key words:** *Colocasia esculenta*, Composite flours, *Triticumaestivum*, Nutritional value

---

Date of Submission: 22-07-2018

Date of acceptance: 08-08-2018

---

### I. Introduction

Taro (*Colocasia* spp) is a monocotyledonous and a member of the araceae family. Taro is widely cultivated in tropical areas of the world such as South East Asia, the Pacific Islands, the Mediterranean, Africa and the United States of America (Nip, 1997). The world production of taro is about 10.3 million tons with Africa producing 9.5 million tons representing 92.2 % (FAO, 2008). Among the African countries, Nigeria is the biggest world producer with more of 4 millions of tons (44% of the world production) then Ghana (1.5 millions of tons), Côte d'Ivoire (more than 350 000 tons) (Bell *et al.*, 2000). Taro is a food that can turn into a series of similar foods to those described for cassava and yam such as porridge, fried, foutou, fritters, spicy soup, sweet soup, paste or ball (Hong *et al.*, 1990; Njintang *et al.*, 2000).

Considering its biochemical value (Aboubakaret *et al.*, 2008; Kaur *et al.*, 2011), taro has been found to be an important ingredient in the production of beverages and for partial replacement of wheat flour in bread, cookies (Nupet *et al.*, 1994; Mongiet *et al.*, 2011; Sanful, 2011).

Wheat (*Triticum* spp.) is the most important staple food crop for more than one third of the world population and contributes more calories and proteins to the world diet than any other cereal crops (Abd-El-Haleem *et al.*, 1998; Adams *et al.*, 2002; Shewry *et al.*, 2009). Wheat flour is used to prepare bread, produce biscuits, confectionary products, noodles and vital wheat gluten or seitan (FAO, 2010). Many people like wheat-based products because of the taste, and particularly the texture. Wheat is unique among cereals because its flour possesses the ability to form a visco-elastic dough when mixed with water.

Composite flour defined as a mixture of flours, starches and other ingredients intended to replace wheat flour totally or partially in bakery and pastry products (Milligan *et al.*, 1981). Composite flours used were either binary or ternary mixtures of flours from some other crops with or without wheat flour (Shittu *et al.*, 2007). Composite flour is considered advantageous in developing countries as it reduces the importation of wheat flour and encourages the use of locally grown crops as flour (Berghofer, 2000; Hugo *et al.*, 2000; Bugusuet *et al.*, 2001, Hasmadiet *et al.*, 2014). Local raw materials substitution for wheat flour is increasing due to the growing market for confectioneries (Noor Aziah and Komathi, 2009). Thus, several developing countries have encouraged the initiation of programmes to evaluate the feasibility of alternative locally available flours as a substitute for wheat flour (Abdelghaforetal., 2011). The aim of this study was to make wheat-based flours and a local product (*colocasiaesculenta*) and to determine their properties for use in food (pastry, bakery...)

### II. Materials and methods

#### Sample collection

Taro (*Colocasiaesculenta*) corms cv yatan (Figure 1) and cv fouê (Figure 2) physiological maturity were collected in Affery (Southeast, Côte d'Ivoire). Put in a cooler to preserve its fresh state, they were transported to the Laboratory of Biocatalysis and Bioprocessing of University of Nangui Abrogoua (Abidjan. Côte d'Ivoire) where study was conducted.



**Figure 1:** Taro (*Colocasia esculenta*) corms cv yatan



**Figure 2:** Taro (*Colocasia esculenta*) corms cv fouê

## Methods

### Preparation of taro flour

The freshly harvested taro corms were thoroughly rinsed with water tap and then peeled. Peeled corms were thoroughly rinsed with tap water peeled then with a knife made of rustproof steel. The peeled corms have been rinsed abundantly to the water of faucet and has been cut in slices with the same knife made of rustproof steel. Slices were plated on aluminum foil at room temperature (28°C) for 20 min and deposited in an oven at forced convection air to a drying process for 48 hours at 45 ± 2°C. After drying, the slices were ground in a Blendor type of hammer mill. The ground material obtained was sieved through a 100 µm mesh sieve. The flour obtained was sealed in polyethylene bags and stored at 25°C in a desiccator until use.

### Preparation of composite (taro-wheat) flour

Taro-wheat composite flour was processed by blending wheat and taro (*Colocasia esculenta* Cvyatan and fouê) flours. Predetermined proportions of 1. 3. 6. 9 and 12 part by weight of taro flour mixed with 99. 97. 94. 91 and 88 part by weight of wheat flour to obtain 1. 3. 6. 9 and 12% of taro-wheat composite flour respectively. 100% wheat flour was used as a control bread sample. The flours were packed in polythene bags and stored at 25 ° C in a desiccator until use.

### Proximate Analysis

Dry matters were determined by drying in an oven at 105°C during 24 h to constant weight (AOAC. 1990). Crude protein was calculated from nitrogen (Nx6.25) obtained using the Kjeldahl method by AOAC (1990). Crude fat was determined by continuous extraction in a Soxhlet apparatus for 8 h using hexane as solvent (AOAC. 1990). Total ash was determined by incinerating in a furnace at 550°C (AOAC. 1990). Total carbohydrates were calculated by difference. Method described by Dubois *et al.* (1956) was used to determine total sugars while reducing sugars were analyzed according to the method of Bernfeld (1955) using 3.5 dinitrosalicylic acids (DNS). The crude cellulose contents were determined according to standard method (BIPEA, 1976).

### Minerals analysis

Minerals were determined employing AOAC (1990) method. Flour was digested with a mixture of concentrated nitric acid (14.44 mol/L). Sulfuric acid (18.01 mol/L) and perchloric acid (11.80 mol/L) and analyzed using an atomic absorption spectrophotometer. The total of phosphorus was determined by method of Taussky and Shorr (1953) using the reactive vanado-molybdique.

### Statistical analysis

All analyses were performed in triplicates. Results were expressed by means of ± standard deviation (SD). Statistical significance was established using Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) models to estimate the Chemical composition and nutritional value of composite flours. Means were separated according to Duncan's multiple range analysis (p<0.05), with the help of the software Statistica (StatSoft Inc, Tulsa USA Headquarters).

## III. Results and discussion

### Proximate composition

The chemical compositions of wheat flour and composite (wheat-taro) flours are shown in tables 1 and 2. The moisture contents of the wheat flour and composite flours presented in tables 1 and 2 are statistically identical (p>0.05). The moisture contents of wheat flour of composite flours of wheat and taro corms of *colocasia esculenta* cv yatan (Table 1) ranged between 11.66±0.46 (FB) to 11.83±0.23 (FCY<sub>12</sub>) and 11.66±0.46 (FB) to 11.88±1.55 (FCF<sub>12</sub>) (Table 2). These low moisture contents of flours would be due to the efficiency of the drying methods used (Pierre, 1989). Indeed, it is well established that high moisture superior at 12% of food products promotes susceptibility to microbial growth and enzyme activity which accelerates spoilage (Brock *et al.*, 1986 ; Ndangui, 2014 ; Anno *et al.*, 2016). Moisture content is an index of storage of the flours.

Flours moisture contents less than 14 % can resist microbial growth and contribute to best storage (Colas, 1998; Okonkwo and Opara. 2010). Similar observation were made by Matter (2015) in wheat-sweet potato composite flours.

Ash flours contents presented in tables 1 and 2 differ significantly (p < 0.05) from cv yatan to cv fouê. The values of table 1 ranged between 2.13±0.06 (FB) to 3.6±0.06 (FCY<sub>12</sub>) and 2.13±0.06 (FB) to 3.73±0.06 (FCF<sub>12</sub>) (Table 2). These ash contents were superior to those reported by Meite *et al.* (2008) in wheat-citrus composite flours (1.53±0.04% to 2.33±0.13%). Incorporation of taro flour in wheat flour increased the ash contents.

## Chemical Composition And Nutritional Value Of Composite Wheat Flours (*Triticumaestivum*) And ..

The protein contents of the wheat flours (13.34±0.30 %) (Tables 1 and 2) were higher than composite flours 11.73±0.30 (FCY<sub>9</sub>) to 12.71±0.3 (FCY<sub>1</sub>) and 11.85±0.3 (FCF<sub>12</sub>) to 12.14±0.30 (FCF<sub>1</sub>). These results are similar than reported by Igbabule *et al.* (2014) in breads produced from wheat- potato composite flours. Plant which produce more than 12% of protein are a good source of proteins (Ali, 2009).

The fat content of wheat flour and wheat-taro composite flours (Table 1) were statistically identical ( $p > 0.05$ ) and ranged between 0.98±0.16 (FCF) to 1.19±0.07 (FB). In table 2, the fat content of flours differ significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) and ranged between 1.12±0.01 (FCY<sub>12</sub>) to 1.19±0.07 (FB). The wheat flour had the higher rate of fat than the composite flours. These results corroborate the findings of many authors who showed that vegetables are poor sources of fat (Ejoh *et al.*, 1996). The fat contents of wheat-taro composite flours were lower to those mentioned by Diallo *et al.* (2015) in wheat-taro and composite flours (6.90±2.38% to 9.91±1.82%).

Carbohydrate are major compound in flours. The carbohydrate contents obtained in the composite flours were relatively high. These values ranging between 71.55±0.79 (FCY<sub>12</sub>) to 73.38±0.83 (FB) (Table 1) and 71.86±0.58 (FCF<sub>9</sub>) to 73.38±0.83 (FB) (Table 2). The high carbohydrates content in the flours is a proof of their being highly nutritious and good for human consumption. These carbohydrate contents are superior to those reported by Igbabule *et al.* (2014) in composite bread produced from wheat, maize and orange fleshed sweet potato flours (52.18±0.02% to 53.35±0.01%).

The cellulose content of composite flours ranged from 1.03±0.07 % (FCY<sub>12</sub>) to 2.4±0.07 (FB) (Table 1) and 1.00±0.07 (FCF<sub>12</sub>) to 2.4±0.07 (FB) (Table 2). The cellulose is important in the man's food. indeed cellulose consumption also soften stools and lowers plasma cholesterol level in the body (Verma and Banerjee, 2010). Also they will be able to contribute to the reduction of the cardiovascular risk (Streppel *et al.*, 2008), of the blood pressure (Lee *et al.*, 2008). These food cellulose could be used them like ingredients to improve the Water absorption capacity, the oil absorption capacity and the viscosity of food (Muhammad *et al.*, 2011).

Mineral composition of flours (wheat-flour and composite flours) are shown in Tables 3 and 4. Mineral elements are essential for human health, have important physiological roles on different organs and cellular mechanisms.

The two dominant minerals in flours are phosphorus (P) and potassium (K). The least dominant are copper (Cu), zinc (Zn) and manganese (Mn).

The phosphorus contents of flours ranged between 147.37±0.05% (FB) to 181.13±0.02% (FCY<sub>12</sub>) (Table 3) and 147.37±0.05% (FB) to 173.47±0.01 (FCF<sub>12</sub>) (Table 4). The potassium contents of flours ranged between 173.16±0.11 (FB) to 186.69±0.43 (FCY<sub>12</sub>) (Table 3) and 173.16±0.11 (FB) to 181.5±0.03 (FCF<sub>12</sub>) (Table 4).

The presence of taro flours in wheat flour induced a Significant increase ( $p < 0.05$ ) of the minerals contents in composite flours (Tables 3 and 4). The increase of minerals values in composite flours could be due to the degree of maturity of the different cultivars of the taro (*Colocasia esculenta*). Indeed, according to Huang *et al.* (2007), an increase of concentration metals in the taro is function of the degree of maturity of this one. Phosphorus values obtained were higher than those reported by Makanju and Awogbenja (2012) (99.7±0.17 and 129.7±33 mg/100g) on the complementary food in Nigeria

**Table 1:** Proximate chemical composition (g/100 g of dry matter) of wheat flour and composite flours of wheat and taro corms of *colocasia esculenta*

Substances nutritionnelles organiques (%)	FB	FCY1	FCY3	FCY6	FCY9	FCY12
<b>Moisture</b>	11.66±0.46 <sup>a</sup>	11.66±0.46 <sup>a</sup>	11.66±0.11 <sup>a</sup>	11.70±0.23 <sup>a</sup>	11.77±0.72 <sup>a</sup>	11.83±0.23 <sup>a</sup>
<b>Ash</b>	2.13±0.06 <sup>a</sup>	2.18±0.02 <sup>b</sup>	2.42±0.06 <sup>c</sup>	2.40±0.06 <sup>d</sup>	2.99±0.02 <sup>e</sup>	3.60±0.06 <sup>f</sup>
<b>Protein</b>	13.34±0.30 <sup>d</sup>	12.71±0.30 <sup>c</sup>	12.31±0.43 <sup>b</sup>	12.09±0.30 <sup>b</sup>	11.73±0.30 <sup>a</sup>	11.90±0.43 <sup>a</sup>
<b>Fat</b>	1.19±0.07 <sup>c</sup>	1.16±0.07 <sup>b</sup>	1.14±0.02 <sup>a</sup>	1.14±0.11 <sup>a</sup>	1.12±0.17 <sup>a</sup>	1.12±0.07 <sup>a</sup>
<b>Sugar</b>	5.57±0.04 <sup>a</sup>	5.59±0.06 <sup>a</sup>	6.40±0.15 <sup>b</sup>	7.13±0.02 <sup>c</sup>	8.08±0.08 <sup>d</sup>	8.35±0.03 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Carbohydrate</b>	73.38±0.83 <sup>b</sup>	72.51±0.85 <sup>b</sup>	72.62±0.62 <sup>b</sup>	72.69±0.70 <sup>b</sup>	72.4±1.21 <sup>b</sup>	71±0.55±0.79 <sup>a</sup>
<b>Cellulose</b>	2.4±0.07 <sup>a</sup>	2.24±0.07 <sup>d</sup>	2.18±0.07 <sup>d</sup>	1.94±0.07 <sup>c</sup>	1.74±0.07 <sup>b</sup>	1.03±0.07 <sup>a</sup>

Results are expressed in a dry weight basis In each line different letters mean significant differences ( $p < 0.05$ ).

FB: Flour of wheat

FCY1: Composite flour of wheat and taro corms of *Colocasia esculenta*, proportion 99/1 (p/p)

FCY3: Composite flour of wheat and taro corms of *Colocasia esculenta*, proportion 97/3 (p/p)

FCY6: Composite flour of wheat and taro corms of *Colocasia esculenta*, proportion 94/6 (p/p)

FCY9: Composite flour of wheat and taro corms of *Colocasia esculenta*, proportion 91/9 (p/p)

FCY12: Composite flour of wheat and taro corms of *Colocasia esculenta*, proportion 88/12 (p/p)

**Table 2:** Proximate chemical composition (g/100 g dry matter) of wheat flour and composite flours of wheat and taro corms of *colocasiaesculentacv*fouê

Substances nutritionnelles organiques (%)	FB	FCF1	FCF3	FCF6	FCF9	FCF12
Moisture	11.66±0.46 <sup>a</sup>	11.66±0.20 <sup>a</sup>	11.68±0.30 <sup>a</sup>	11.73±0.69 <sup>a</sup>	11.81±0.17 <sup>a</sup>	11.88±1.55 <sup>a</sup>
Ash	2.13±0.06 <sup>a</sup>	2.31±0.04 <sup>b</sup>	2.57±0.05 <sup>b</sup>	2.61±0.07 <sup>c</sup>	3.34±0.06 <sup>d</sup>	3.73±0.06 <sup>e</sup>
Protein	13.34±0.30 <sup>c</sup>	12.14±0.30 <sup>b</sup>	11.91±0.30 <sup>a</sup>	11.89±0.30 <sup>a</sup>	11.89±0.30 <sup>a</sup>	11.85±0.30 <sup>a</sup>
Fat	1.19±0.07 <sup>a</sup>	0.98±0.16 <sup>a</sup>	1.01±0.17 <sup>a</sup>	1.09±0.05 <sup>a</sup>	1.10±0.05 <sup>a</sup>	1.13±0.08 <sup>a</sup>
Total sugar	5.57±0.04 <sup>a</sup>	5.90±0.08 <sup>b</sup>	6.63±0.05 <sup>c</sup>	7.88±0.06 <sup>d</sup>	8.33±0.03 <sup>e</sup>	9.44±0.04 <sup>f</sup>
Carbohydrates	73.38±0.83 <sup>c</sup>	72.91±0.70 <sup>b</sup>	72.83±0.82 <sup>b</sup>	72.68±1.11 <sup>b</sup>	71.86±0.58 <sup>a</sup>	71.41±1.99 <sup>a</sup>
Cellulose	2.4±0.07 <sup>d</sup>	2.3±0.07 <sup>d</sup>	2.26±0.4 <sup>d</sup>	2.01±0.07 <sup>e</sup>	1.83±0.03 <sup>b</sup>	1.00±0.07 <sup>a</sup>

Results are expressed in a dry weight basis In each line different letters mean significant differences (p < 0.05).

FB: Flour of wheat

FCF1: Composite flour of wheat and taro corms of *Colocasiaesculentacv* "fouê", proportion 99/1 (p/p)

FCF3: Composite flour of wheat and taro corms of *Colocasiaesculentacv* "fouê", proportion 97/3 (p/p)

FCF6: Composite flour of wheat and taro corms of *Colocasiaesculentacv* "fouê", proportion 94/6 (p/p)

FCF9: Composite flour of wheat and taro corms of *Colocasiaesculentacv* "fouê", proportion 91/9 (p/p)

FCF12: Composite flour of wheat and taro corms of *Colocasiaesculentacv* "fouê", proportion 88/12 (p/p)

**Table 3 :** Minerals concentrations (mg/100 g on dry weight basis) of wheat flour and composite flours of wheat and taro corms of *colocasiaesculenta cv* yatan

Flour of wheat and Composite flour		FB	FCY1	FCY3	FCY6	FCY9	FCY12
Macro-minerals	Mg	26.33±0.58	26.83±0.23 <sup>a</sup>	30.89±0.75 <sup>b</sup>	36±0.18 <sup>c</sup>	41.83±0.34 <sup>d</sup>	42.83±0.32 <sup>e</sup>
	Na	5.18±0.02	5.86±0.12 <sup>a</sup>	6.11±0.04 <sup>b</sup>	6.40±0.11 <sup>b</sup>	6.51±0.16 <sup>b</sup>	6.52±0.23 <sup>b</sup>
	K	173.16±0.11	173.95±0.14 <sup>a</sup>	177.16±0.11 <sup>b</sup>	186.11±0.11 <sup>c</sup>	186.66±0.04 <sup>c</sup>	186.69±0.43 <sup>c</sup>
	Ca	30.81±0.01	30.91±0.05 <sup>a</sup>	38±0.07 <sup>b</sup>	38.34±0.02 <sup>b</sup>	38.62±0.05 <sup>b</sup>	38.67±0.05 <sup>b</sup>
	P	147.37±0.05	147.64±0.25 <sup>a</sup>	169.56±0.04 <sup>b</sup>	171.41±0.07 <sup>c</sup>	171.64±0.03 <sup>c</sup>	181.13±0.02 <sup>d</sup>
Micro-minerals	Cu	0.12±0.02 <sup>a</sup>	0.13±0.01 <sup>b</sup>	0.13±0.07 <sup>b</sup>	0.15±0.04 <sup>c</sup>	0.16±0.04 <sup>d</sup>	0.18±0.04 <sup>e</sup>
	Zn	0.73±0.03 <sup>a</sup>	0.74±0.09 <sup>b</sup>	0.83±0.11 <sup>c</sup>	0.87±0.11 <sup>d</sup>	0.89±0.23 <sup>e</sup>	0.94±23 <sup>f</sup>
	Fe	1.00±0.02 <sup>a</sup>	1.05±0.04 <sup>b</sup>	1.10±0.02 <sup>c</sup>	1.13±0.03 <sup>d</sup>	1.14±0.04 <sup>e</sup>	1.16±0.03 <sup>f</sup>
	Mn	0.71±0.10 <sup>a</sup>	0.71±0.04 <sup>a</sup>	0.73±0.16 <sup>b</sup>	0.74±0.01 <sup>c</sup>	0.80±0.01 <sup>d</sup>	0.84±0.11 <sup>e</sup>

Results are expressed in a dry weight basis In each line different letters mean significant differences (p < 0.05).

FB: Flour of wheat

FCY1: Composite flour of wheat and taro corms of *Colocasiaesculentacv*yatan, proportion 99/1 (p/p)

FCY3: Composite flour of wheat and taro corms of *Colocasiaesculentacv*yatan, proportion 97/3 (p/p)

FCY6: Composite flour of wheat and taro corms of *Colocasiaesculentacv*yatan proportion 94/6 (p/p)

FCY9: Composite flour of wheat and taro corms of *Colocasiaesculentacv*yatan proportion 91/9 (p/p)

FCY12: Composite flour of wheat and taro corms of *Colocasiaesculentacv*yatan proportion 88/12 (p/p)

**Table 4 :** Minerals concentrations (mg/100 g on dry weight basis) of wheat flour and composite flours of wheat and taro corms of *colocasiaesculenta cv* fouê

Flour of wheat and Composite flour		FB	FCF1	FCF3	FCF6	FCF9	FCF12
Macro-minerals	Mg	26.33±0.58	26±0.07 <sup>a</sup>	25.83±0.22 <sup>b</sup>	25.5±0.70 <sup>b</sup>	24.5±0.35 <sup>c</sup>	24.33±0.47 <sup>c</sup>
	Na	5.18±0.02	5.00±0.07 <sup>a</sup>	4.85±0.15 <sup>b</sup>	4.5±0.35 <sup>c</sup>	3.75±0.28 <sup>d</sup>	3.49±0.14 <sup>e</sup>
	K	173.16±0.11	173.5±0.35 <sup>a</sup>	175.66±0.66 <sup>b</sup>	175.83±0.02 <sup>b</sup>	180.83±0.73 <sup>c</sup>	181.5±0.03 <sup>c</sup>
	Ca	30.81±0.01	30.24±0.03 <sup>a</sup>	29.08±0.06 <sup>b</sup>	28.92±0.72 <sup>c</sup>	28.81±0.22 <sup>c</sup>	27.59±0.07 <sup>d</sup>
	P	147.37±0.05	147.5±0.14 <sup>a</sup>	155.12±0.01 <sup>b</sup>	167.37±0.05 <sup>c</sup>	170.36±0.04 <sup>d</sup>	173.47±0.01 <sup>e</sup>
Micro-minerals	Cu	0.12±0.02 <sup>a</sup>	0.12±0.01 <sup>a</sup>	0.13±0.05 <sup>a</sup>	0.14±0.02 <sup>a</sup>	0.15±0.01 <sup>b</sup>	0.16±0.04 <sup>b</sup>
	Zn	0.73±0.03 <sup>a</sup>	0.73±0.02 <sup>a</sup>	0.75±0.01 <sup>b</sup>	0.83±0.06 <sup>c</sup>	0.85±0.05 <sup>d</sup>	0.90±0.09 <sup>e</sup>
	Fe	1.00±0.02 <sup>a</sup>	1.11±0.03 <sup>b</sup>	1.16±0.04 <sup>c</sup>	1.18±0.03 <sup>d</sup>	1.21±0.17 <sup>e</sup>	1.23±0.07 <sup>f</sup>
	Mn	0.71±0.10 <sup>a</sup>	0.72±0.01 <sup>b</sup>	0.73±0.16 <sup>c</sup>	0.75±0.01 <sup>d</sup>	0.81±0.02 <sup>e</sup>	0.86±0.46 <sup>f</sup>

Results are expressed in a dry weight basis In each line different letters mean significant differences ( $p < 0.05$ ).

FB: Flour of wheat

FCF1: Composite flour of wheat and taro corms of *Colocasiaesculentacv* "fouè", proportion 99/1 (p/p)

FCF3: Composite flour of wheat and taro corms of *Colocasiaesculentacv* "fouè", proportion 97/3 (p/p)

FCF6: Composite flour of wheat and taro corms of *Colocasiaesculentacv* "fouè", proportion 94/6 (p/p)

FCF9: Composite flour of wheat and taro corms of *Colocasiaesculentacv* "fouè", proportion 91/9 (p/p)

FCF12: Composite flour of wheat and taro corms of *Colocasiaesculentacv* "fouè", proportion 88/12 (p/p)

#### IV. Conclusion

Composite flour shows good potential for use as a functional agent in bakery products, therefore the evaluation of the functionality of composite flour in test baking should be performed to ensure an increase in the use of composite flour made from many different raw materials in future. This study shows that taro (*Colocassiaesculenta*) can be successfully introduced in the formulation of composite flours, which are low cost and easy to prepare. This is a new method of valuing this very important crop in the diets. The stability of the obtained flours should be further studied.

#### References

- [1]. Abdelghafor, R. F., Mustafa, A. I., Ibrahim, A. M. H. and Krishnan, P. G. (2011). Quality of bread from composite flour of sorghum and hard white winter wheat. *Advance Journal of Food Science and Technology* 3: 9-15.
- [2]. Abd-El-Haleem SHM, Reham MA, Mohamed SMS, Abdel-Aal ESM, Sosulski FW, Hucl P, (1998). Origins, characteristics and potentials of ancient wheats. *Cereal Foods World*, 43: 708–715.
- [3]. Aboubakar.,Njintang Y. N., Scher J., Mbofung C. M. F. (2008). Physicochemical thermal properties and microstructure of six varieties of taro (*Colocasia esculenta* L. Schott) flours and starches. *Journal Food Engineering*, 86: 294–305.
- [4]. Adams ML, Lombi E, Zhao FJ, McGrath SP, (2002). Evidence of low selenium concentrations in UK bread-making wheat grain. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture*, 82: 1160–1165.
- [5]. AOAC. (1990). Association of Official Analytical Chemists. *Official Methods of Analysis*
- [6]. Bell A., Mueck O., Schuler B. (2000). The wealths of soil: the plants to roots and tubers in Africa: a contribution to the development of the technologies of harvest and after-harvest. Ed. *Deutsche Stiftung fuer Internationale Entwicklung Feldafing* (Germany). 237 p.
- [7]. Berghofer, E. (2000). Brotstarkfunktionales Lebensmittel. *GetreideMehlBrot* 54(3):175-179.
- [8]. Bernfeld P. (1955). Amylase  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , *Methods in enzymology* 1.S. P. Colswick and N.O.K.
- [9]. Brock O. T., Thomas K., Brock M., David M. W. (1986). *Basic Microbiology with Applications. Antibiotics and other chemotherapeutic agents* 3rdEdn. 144-155.
- [10]. Bugusu, B. A., Campanella, O. and Hamaker, B. R. (2001). Improvement of sorghum-wheat composite dough rheological properties and breadmaking quality through zein addition. *Cereal Chemistry* 78(1): 3135.
- [11]. Diallo K. S., Soro D., Koné K. Y., Assidjo N. E., Yao K. B., Gnakri D. (2015). Fortification and substitution of the wheat flour by the flour of Voandzou (*Vignasubterranea* L. verdc) in the production of the bakery products. *International Journal of Innovation and Scientific Research*. 18 (2): 434-443.
- [12]. Dubois M., Gilles K. A., Hamilton J. K., Rebers P. A., Smith F. (1956). *ColorimetricEd. Academic Press Inc. New-York*. 149-154
- [13]. FAO (2008). FAO statistics division. <http://www.faostat.fao.org/site/535/DesktopDefault>. [accessed June 12. 2010]. Consulté le 27 février 2011.
- [14]. FAO (2010). Wheat importation in Nigeria in 2010. FAO Statistics 1999-2010. Food and Agriculture Organisation of the United Nations, Rome.
- [15]. Hasnadi, M., SitiFaridah, A., Salwa, I., Matanjun, P., Abdul Hamid, M. and Rameli, A. S. (2014). The effect of seaweed composite flour on the textural properties of dough and bread. *Journal of Applied Phycology* 26:1057–1062.
- [16]. Hong G. P., Nip W. K. (1990). Functional properties of precooked taro flour in sorbets. *Food Chemistry*. 36: 261–270.
- [17]. Huang C. C., Chen W. C., Wang C. C. R. (2007). Comparison of Taiwan paddyand upland-cultivated taro (*Colocasia esculenta* L.) cultivars for nutritive values. *Food Chemistry*. 102: 250-256.
- [18]. Hugo, L. F., Rooney, L. W. and Taylor, J. R. N. (2000). Malted sorghum as a functional ingredient in composite bread. *Cereal Science* 79(4): 428-432.
- [19]. Igbabul B., Num G., Amove J. (2014). Quality evaluation of composite bread produced from wheat, maize and orange fleshed sweet potato flours. *American Journal of Food Science and Technology*. 2(4): 109-115.
- [20]. Kaur M., Kaushal P., Sandhu K. S. (2011). Studies on physicochemical and pasting properties of taro (*Colocasia esculenta* L.) flour in comparison with a cereal tuber and legume flour. *Journal of Food Sciences Technology*.48: 1–7.
- [21]. Lee Y. P., Puddey I. B., Hodgson J. M. (2008). Protein, fiber and blood pressure: Potential benefit of legumes. *Clinical and Experimental Pharmacology and Physiology*. 35 (4): 473-476.
- [22]. Makanju D., Awogbenja. (2012). protein and mineral contents of commonly consumed complementary foods in lafia. nasarawa state. nigeria. *International Journal of Science and Advanced Technology*. 2 (5): 1-6.
- [23]. Matter A. A. (2015). Quality evaluation of wheat-sweet potato composite flours and their utilization in bread making. *International Journal of Advanced Research in Biological Sciences*. 2 (11): 294–303.
- [24]. Meite A., Kouame K. G., Amani N. G., Kati-Coulibaly S., Offoumou A. (2008). Physico-chemical and sensory features of breads fortified with the flours of seeds of *citrulluslanatus*. *Journal of science. Pharmacology and biology*. 9 (1): 32–43.
- [25]. Milligan, E. D., Amlie, J. H., Reyes, J., Garcia, A. and Meyer, B. (1981). Processing for production of edible soy flour. *Journal American Oil Chemistry Social* 58: 331.
- [26]. Mongi R. J., Ndabikunze B. K., Chove B. E., Mamiro P., Ruhembe C. C., Ntwenya J. G. (2011). Proximate composition, bread characteristics and sensory evaluation of cocoyam-wheat composite breads. *African Journal of Food, Agriculture, Nutrition and Development*. 11 (7): 5586-5599.
- [27]. Muhammad H. A., Taha R., Khalil E., Intez A., Mohammad A. A., Ali A., Nather M., Mohammad N. A. (2011). Effects of barley flour and barley protein isolate on chemical, functional, nutritional and biological properties of Pita bread. *Food Hydrocolloids*, 1-9p.
- [28]. Ndangui C. B., Petit J., Gaiani C., Nzikou J.-M., Scher J. (2014). Impact of thermal and chemical pretreatments on physicochemical, rheological and functional properties of sweet potato (*IpomeabatasLam*) flour. *Food and Bioprocess Technology*. 7 (12): 3618-3628.
- [29]. Nip W. K. (1997). In: Smith DS, Cash J N, Nip W K, Hui Y H (eds) Taro: processing vegetable and technology. *Technomic Publishing, Pennsylvania,USA*. 355–387.
- [30]. Njintang Y. N., Soudy I. D., Kengni N. C., Fombang E., Facho B., Mbofung C. M. F. (2000). Utilisation and postharvest technologies of taro (*Colocasiaesculenta*) in Chad and Cameroon. *Cameroon Journal of Biology and Biochemical Sciences*. 10 (2): 23–30.
- [31]. Noor AZIAH, A. A., Mohamad Noor, A. Y. and Ho, L. H. (2012). Physicochemical and organoleptic properties of cookies incorporated with legume flour. *International Food Research Journal* 19(4): 1539-1543.
- [32]. Nup W.K., vargo D. and Whitaker C.S. (1994). Application of taro flour in cookie formulation. *International Journal of Food ScienceTechnology*. 29: 463-468.
- [33]. Sanful R. E. (2011). Organoleptic and nutritional analysis of taro and wheat flour composite bread. *World Journal of Dairy and Food Science*. 6 (2): 175-179.
- [34]. Shewry PR, (2007). Improving the protein content and composition of cereal grain. *Journal of Cereal Science*, 46: 239–250.
- [35]. Shittu, T., Raji, A. O. and Sanni, L. O. (2007). Bread from composite cassava-wheat flour: I. Effect of baking time and temperature on some physical properties of bread loaf. *Food Research International* 40: 280–290
- [36]. Solomon M. (2005). Nutritive value of three potential complementary foods based on cereals and legumes. *African Journal of Food and Nutritional Sciences*. 5 (2): 1-14.
- [37]. Streppel M. T., Ocke M. C., Boshuizen H.C., Kok F. J., Kromhout D. (2008). Dietary fiber intake in relation to coronary heart disease and all-cause mortality over 40 y: The Zutphen Study. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*.88: 1119-1125.
- [38]. Taussky H. H. and Shorr E. (1953). A micro colorimetric method of determination of Verma A. K. and Banerjee R. (2010). Dietary fiber as functional ingredient meat products: a novel approach for healthy living – a review. *Journal of Food Sciencetechnology*.47 (3): 247–257.